UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS' CONCUSSION INJURY LITIGATION	No. 12-md-2323 (AB) MDL No. 2323
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Plaintiffs' Master Administrative Long- Form Complaint and (if applicable) Joseph Sweet, et al. v. National Football League [et al.], No. 2:12-cv-07214-AB	SHORT FORM COMPLAINT IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS' CONCUSSION INJURY LITIGATION JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiff(s), <u>Corey Mayfield</u>, (and, if applicable, Plaintiff's Spouse) ______, bring(s) this civil action as a related action in the matter entitled IN RE: NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS' CONCUSSION INJURY LITIGATION, MDL No. 2323.
- 2. Plaintiff (and, if applicable, Plaintiff's Spouse) is/are filing this short form complaint as required by this Court's Case Management Order No. 2, filed April 26, 2012.
- 3. Plaintiff (and, if applicable Plaintiff's Spouse), incorporate(s) by reference the allegations (as designated below) of the Master Administrative Long-Form Complaint, as may be amended, as if fully set forth at length in this Short Form Complaint.

4.

[Fill in if applicable] Plaintiff is filing this case in a representative capacity as the

	of		_, having been duly appointed as the
	by the	Court of	(Cross out
sentence belo	ow if not applicable.) Copi	es of the Letters of A	Administration/Letters Testamentary
for a wrongfu	ıl death claim are annexed	hereto if such Letter	s are required for the commencement
of such a clai	m by the Probate, Surrogat	te or other appropria	te court of the jurisdiction of the
decedent.			
5.	Plaintiff, Corey Mayfield	d, is a resident a	and citizen of
Forney, TX		and claim	as damages as set forth below.
6.	[Fill in if applicable] Pla	intiff's spouse,	, is a resident and
citizen of Fo	orney, TX , and cl	aims damages as a r	esult of loss of consortium
proximately of	caused by the harm suffere	d by her Plaintiff hu	sband/decedent.
7.	On information and belie	ef, the Plaintiff (or de	ecedent) sustained repetitive,
traumatic sub	o-concussive and/or concus	ssive head impacts d	uring NFL games and/or practices.
On information	on and belief, Plaintiff suff	fers (or decedent suff	fered) from symptoms of brain injury
caused by the	e repetitive, traumatic sub-	concussive and/or co	oncussive head impacts the Plaintiff
(or decedent)	sustained during NFL gan	nes and/or practices.	On information and belief,
the Plaintiff's	(or decedent's) symptoms	s arise from injuries	that are latent and have developed
and continue	to develop over time.		
8.	[Fill in if applicable] The	e original complaint	by Plaintiff(s) in this matter was filed
	Court of the State of California, os Angeles on October 25, 2012	If the case is ren	nanded, it should be remanded to
	rt of the State of California,		

9.	Plainti	ff claims damages as a result of [check all that apply]:
	√	Injury to Herself/Himself
		Injury to the Person Represented
		Wrongful Death
		Survivorship Action
	\checkmark	Economic Loss
		Loss of Services
		Loss of Consortium
10.	[Fill in	n if applicable] As a result of the injuries to her husband,
Corey Mayfi	eld	, Plaintiff's Spouse,, suffers from a
loss of consor	rtium, in	acluding the following injuries:
lo	ss of ma	rital services;
	ss of co	mpanionship, affection or society;
lo	ss of sup	pport; and
m	onetary	losses in the form of unreimbursed costs she has had to expend for the
health	care an	d personal care of her husband.
11.	[Checl	k if applicable] Plaintiff (and Plaintiff's Spouse, if applicable)
reserve(s) the	right to	object to federal jurisdiction.

DEFENDANTS

12.

Plaintiff (and Plaintiff's Spouse, if applicable) bring(s) this case against the

following Defe	ndants	in this action [check all that apply]:
[√	National Football League
[√	NFL Properties, LLC
[√	Riddell, Inc.
[√	All American Sports, Inc. (d/b/a Riddell Sports Group, Inc.)
[√	Riddell Sports Group, Inc.
[√	Easton-Bell Sports, Inc.
[√	Easton-Bell Sports, LLC
[√	EB Sports Corporation
[√	RBG Holdings Corporation
13.	[Check	where applicable] As to each of the Riddell Defendants referenced above
the claims asser	rted ar	e: design defect; manufacturing defect.
14.	[Check	t if applicable] The Plaintiff (or decedent) wore one or more helmets
designed and/or	r manu	afactured by the Riddell Defendants during one or more years Plaintiff (or
decedent) playe	ed in th	ne NFL and/or AFL.
		ff played in [check if applicable] the National Football League eeck if applicable] the American Football League ("AFL") during

1992, 1995		for the following teams:
Miami Dolphins and Jacksonville Jaguars, respectively.		
		<u>CAUSES OF ACTION</u>
16. I	Plaintif	ff herein adopts by reference the following Counts of the Master
Administrative	Long-	Form Complaint, along with the factual allegations incorporated by
reference in tho	se Cou	ants [check all that apply]:
	√	Count I (Action for Declaratory Relief – Liability (Against the NFL))
[√	Count II (Medical Monitoring (Against the NFL))
[Count III (Wrongful Death and Survival Actions (Against the NFL))
[√	Count IV (Fraudulent Concealment (Against the NFL))
	√	Count V (Fraud (Against the NFL))
[√	Count VI (Negligent Misrepresentation (Against the NFL))
[Count VII (Negligence Pre-1968 (Against the NFL Defendants))
[√	Count VIII (Negligence Post-1968 (Against the NFL Defendants))
[√	Count IX (Negligence 1987-1993 (Against the NFL Defendants))
[√	Count X (Negligence Post-1994 (Against the NFL Defendants))

Case 2:12-md-02323-AB Document 4522 Filed 01/11/13 Page 6 of 10

		Count XI (Loss of Consortium (Against the NFL and Riddell Defendants))
	\checkmark	Count XII (Negligent Hiring (Against the NFL))
	\checkmark	Count XIII (Negligent Retention (Against the NFL))
	\checkmark	Count XIV (Strict Liability for Design Defect (Against the Riddell
		Defendants))
		Count XV (Strict Liability for Manufacturing Defect (Against the Riddell
		Defendants))
	\checkmark	Count XVI (Failure to Warn (Against the Riddell Defendants))
	\checkmark	Count XVII (Negligence (Against the Riddell Defendants))
	\checkmark	Count XVIII (Civil Conspiracy/Fraudulent Concealment (Against NFL
		Defendants))
17.	Plaint	tiff asserts the following additional causes of action [write in or attach]:
 SEE A	ATTAC1	HMENT "A" TO THIS COMPLAINT.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff (and Plaintiff's Spouse, if applicable) pray(s) for judgment as follows:

- A. An award of compensatory damages, the amount of which will be determined at trial;
- B. For punitive and exemplary damages as applicable;
- C. For all applicable statutory damages of the state whose laws will govern this action;
- D. For medical monitoring, whether denominated as damages or in the form of equitable relief;
- E. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs;
- F. An award of prejudgment interest and costs of suit; and
- G. An award of such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMANDED

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

s/ Graham LippSmith

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Jason E. Luckasevic, Esquire
Goldberg, Persky & White, P.C.
1030 Fifth Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Telephone: (412) 471-3980 - 7 - Facsimile: (412) 471-8308

and

GIRARDI | KEESE

Thomas Girardi (California Bar No. 36603) Graham LippSmith (California Bar No. 221984) 1126 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 977-0211

Facsimile: (213)481-1554

RUSSOMANNO & BORRELLO, P.A.

Herman J. Russomanno (Florida Bar No. 240346) Robert J. Borrello (Florida Bar No. 764485) 150 West Flagler Street - PH 2800

Miami, FL 33130

Telephone: (305) 373-2101 Facsimile: (305) 373-2103

ATTACHMENT "A" TO SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

COUNT XIX NEGLIGENCE - Monopolist (As Against the NFL)

- 1. The NFL, by and through its monopoly power, has historically had a duty to invoke rules that protect the health and safety of its players, including Plaintiffs, and the public.
- 2. As a monopoly, the NFL has a duty to protect the health and safety of its players, as well as the public at large.
- 3. The NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in its duty increased the risk that the Plaintiffs would suffer long-term neurocognitive injuries.
- 4. The Plaintiffs reasonably relied to their detriment on the NFL's actions and omissions on the subject.
- 5. Under all of the above circumstances, it was foreseeable that the NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in the execution of its duties would cause or substantially contribute to the personal injuries suffered by the Plaintiffs.
- 6. The NFL's failure to exercise reasonable care in the execution of its duties proximately caused or contributed to Plaintiffs' injuries.
- 7. As a result of the NFL's negligence, the NFL is liable to Plaintiffs, and the Plaintiffs are entitled to, and seek, all damages allowed by applicable law.

COUNT XX NEGLIGENCE (As Against the NFL and NFL Properties)

8. The NFL and NFL Properties breached their duty to ensure that the helmets they licensed, required and/or approved were of the highest possible quality and sufficient to protect the NFL players, including Plaintiffs, and/or they increased the plaintiffs' risks of the long term health consequences of concussive brain injury.

- 9. The NFL and NFL Properties breached their duty by licensing the Riddell Defendants' helmets, and approving and/or requiring the use of the helmets for the NFL players, knowing or having reason to know that the helmets were negligently and defectively designed and/or lacked an adequate warning.
- 10. As a result of these breaches by the NFL and NFL Properties, Plaintiffs suffer personal injuries as a result the long-term health effects of concussive brain injuries.
- 11. As a result of the NFL and NFL Properties' negligence, the NFL Defendants are liable to Plaintiffs, and the Plaintiffs are entitled to, and seek, all damages allowed by applicable law.